

Thai Poly Acrylic Public Company Limited

Minutes of the 26th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders

28 April 2010 at 10.00 a.m.

Thai Poly Acrylic Public Company Limited held the 26th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders on April 28, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. at S. D. Avenue Hotel, Chonlada Room, 1st Floor, Borom Rajchonni Road, Bangbunru Sub-district, Bangplad District, Bangkok Metropolis.

Mr. Suchitr Srivetbodee, as chairman of the Meeting, started the Meeting and introduced the directors, executives and guests who attended this Meeting.

The directors present in the Meeting

1. Mr. Seri Sakdisawasdi Chairman of the Audit Committee and Independent Director
2. Asst. Prof. Wattanee Phanachet Member of the Audit Committee and Independent Director
3. Mr. Sorasakdi Suchart Member of the Audit Committee and Independent Director
4. Mr. Suchitr Srivetbodee Director and CEO

The executives present in the Meeting

1. Mr. Thanetr Khumchoedchoochai Commercial Manager
2. Miss Somjitr Bunpiboonmitr Financial Controller
3. Mr. Pongsin Muangsri Safety, Health and Environment/  
Work Systems Manager
4. Mr. Thanawat Kulprasertat Human Resource and Legal Manager
5. Mr. Pongsun Assavasirijinda Domestic Sales Manager
6. Ms. Ratchanee Piboonmahachotikul Internal Auditor

7. Ms. Masaya Rungrueng Managing Director's Secretary

Legal advisor

Mr. Niphon Srithong-in (PhD)

Company Secretary

Miss Patcharee Maneetamwong

Representatives from Pricewaterhouse Coopers ABAS Co., Ltd.

1. Mr. Vichien Khingmontri Certified Public Accountant (Thailand) No. 3977

2. Mr. Raising Thiranulak Senior Auditor

The directors absent with apology

1. Mr. Sei-Jin-Liou Chairman of the Board

2. Mr. Ian Lambert Director

3. Mr. Ju-Hsiung Liu Director

4. Mr. Jan Dam Pedersen Director

5. Mr. Jouni Asikainen Director

The chairman informed the Meeting about the reasons why some directors were not able to attend the Meeting.

Some of them had prior engagements whilst others were unable to travel to Thailand due to the political unrest.

- Before the Meeting proceeded, the chairman explained the scope of the Meeting to the shareholders and their proxies. The Meeting, as that of the previous year, was to consider only the established agenda items. No item was to be added for consideration other than those already stated in the meeting agenda.

- The chairman then explained in detail about the voting procedure for each agenda item, as follows:

- The voting cards prepared by the company were introduced.

- The shareholders or their proxies were to vote to resolve the agenda items 3 to 8.
- The prepared voting cards had 3 boxes for the meeting participants to cast their votes as “For” or “Against” or “Abstention.”
- The shareholders could cast their votes freely as they saw fit, or as instructed by the shareholders in the case of proxies. Each share was equal to one vote. The slide presentation of a voting card was also shown so that the shareholders or proxies could clearly understand the procedure.
- The chairman would allocate 1-2 minutes to the shareholders or proxies to consider and cast their votes on each agenda item.
- During the explanation of each agenda item, any shareholder or proxy who had questions could freely ask those questions, so that the shareholders could benefit the most from the Meeting.

Afterwards, the company’s staff would collect the cards to count the votes, and the chairman would notify the shareholders and their proxies of the voting results at the end of the Meeting. While the Meeting waited for the voting results, the shareholders or proxies would have another opportunity for further questions.

The chairman notified the Meeting that 51 shareholders were present, representing the total of 10,815,224 shares or 89.01% of all 12,150,000 shares. They consisted of 13 shareholders participating in person representing 39,000 shares or 0.32%, and 38 proxies representing 10,776,224 shares or 88.69%.

The number of participants was verified and signed by Mr. Niphon Srithong-in, TPA’s legal advisor.

After the chairman had announced the number of participating shareholders, 4 more shareholders arrived, increasing the number to 55 shareholders representing the total of 10,817,364 shares or 89.03% of all the company’s shares of 12,150,000. Among them were 17 shareholders participating in person, representing 41,140 shares or 0.34% of all shares, while the number of proxies remained at 38 representing 10,776,224 shares or 88.69%.

The meeting participants had no remarks or objections to any of the above. The chairman, therefore, carried on with the following agenda items.

Agenda Item 1: To consider and approve the Minutes of the 25th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on April 27, 2009

The chairman proposed that the Meeting should consider and endorse the Minutes of the 25th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held at the Royal City Hotel, Bangkok Noi Room, 3rd Floor, Borom Rajchonni Road, Bangkok Metropolis, on 27 April 2009. A copy of the minutes was earlier sent to each shareholder along with the Notice of Shareholders' Meeting.

Opinion of the Board: Resolved to propose, for consideration and approval by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, the Minutes of the 25th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on April 27, 2009.

The shareholders had no objections or requests for changes to the minutes.

The Meeting considered the item and resolved to approve the Minutes of the 25th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on April 27, 2009.

Agenda Item 2: To report TPA's Business Performance for the year 2009

The chairman summarized for the Meeting TPA's performance in 2009 and the impacts of Thailand's economic and political conditions as well as the international economic situation, especially in the US, which caused a lot of concerns among Thais and foreigners alike. The country's political instability was also a great factor. Therefore, the Company's forecast and budgeted performance for 2009 was set relatively low. The actual outcome, however, was better. The Company performed excellently in the 1st quarter. The country's political situation was improved. Things went on its course smoothly.

Exports to many countries in the region were outstanding. The domestic demand was also terrific, especially from the 2nd quarter onward. The automobile industry was the main source of recovery, contributing to strong domestic sales for ABS, HIPS and acrylic products through to the 3rd and 4th quarters. In the 4th quarter, the figures dropped slightly because of the reappearance of political uncertainty along with the seasonal trend of European customers and overseas partners, who usually reduced orders during November and December. However, the overall sales throughout the year exceeded all expectations. The reason is that the overseas markets did not decline, with some countries offering better situation than expected. In addition, the local markets

benefited from the automobile and the construction industries, leading to good performance throughout the year from both the acrylic products and extrusion product lines such as ABS, HIPS and PP profiles.

As a result, the Company's performance was beyond target. In 2009, the Company's earnings per share was at Baht 5.04, exceeding the relatively low target of Baht 1.58, and higher than that of 2008 at Baht 3.96. Although sales in 2009 were lower than those of 2008, the Company was able to achieve better overall results, with the earnings before tax of Baht 77.8 million, an increase from Baht 68.3 million in 2008 by Baht 9.5 million or 13.9%.

The increase in profit was the result of decreased manufacturing costs due to improved efficiency and reduced waste, as well as wise marketing strategies that responded appropriately to the market conditions.

The shareholders had no questions. The chairman then proposed the opinion of the board on this item.

Opinion of the Board: Resolved to report the Company's business performance for the year 2009 to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders.

The shareholders acknowledged the Company's 2009 performance.

Agenda Item 3: To consider and approve Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

The chairman presented to the Meeting the financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, consisting of the balance sheet and the income statement, which had been audited by a certified public accountant, as shown in the 2009 Annual Report, and distributed earlier to the shareholders together with the Notice of Shareholders' Meeting.

As shown in this year's financial statements, the Company's applicable tax rate, as a company listed in the SET, was reduced from 30% to 25% for the calculation of the 2009 income tax. Therefore, the Company's profit after tax for 2009 netted at Baht 61.2 million.

The chairman then answered the questions raised by some of the shareholders:

Anonymous shareholder

1. From the income statement, the remuneration for the board of directors and the executives in 2008 was Baht 17.5 million. In 2009, the figure increased to Baht 26.5 million, an increase of Baht 9.0 million. What is the reason behind this?

The chairman replied that the reasons for the increase are

- Payment for the retirement package of the Managing Director.
- Payment for the variable bonus, which was paid to all TPA employees. Because the consolidated operating results of Lucite International exceeded targets, Lucite International decided to reward its employees with variable bonus in accordance with its regulations.

2. The administrative expenses in 2009 rose to Baht 52.6 million, compared to those in 2008 of only Baht 47.2 million. What caused the increase?

Miss Somjit Bunpiboonmitr as the Company's financial controller assisted the chairman and took the question: The main reason is that in 2009, there was payment for variable bonus to all of TPA employees whereas in 2008, no variable bonus was paid to the employees.

The chairman added that

Variable bonus is not paid every year. This payment occurs only when TPA achieves over-target profit and good overall performance, and the overall operating results of Lucite International are also good. The Group's other companies in other countries must also perform well. The decision is then made by the head office of Lucite International. As an example, in 2008 TPA did not receive variable bonus although its performance met the targets.

Ms. Chadaporn Thikhautamakorn, another shareholder, pointed out that

It might be possible that the increase in the administrative expenses could come from the inclusion of the depreciation expense as part of the administrative expenses.

Miss Somjit Bunpiboonmitr explained to the shareholders that

The depreciation expense was part of the administrative expenses. However, it could not be considered the main cause of the significant increase in the administrative expenses because the capital investment in 2009 was relatively low, leading to the depreciation expense remaining somewhat

the same or declining. Therefore, the main reason for the increase in the administrative expenses was the payment of variable bonus, as clarified earlier.

The shareholders had no further questions. The chairman then proposed the board's opinion.

Opinion of the Board: Resolved to propose, for consideration and approval by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, TPA's Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

The chairman proposed that the Meeting pass a resolution using the voting cards.

The Meeting unanimously resolved to approve Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 with the voting results, as follows.

Approval by	52 shareholders	with	10,815,525 votes	equal to	99.98%
Disapproval by	- shareholders	with	- votes	equal to	-
Abstention by	3 shareholders	with	1,839 votes	equal to	0.02%
Total	55 shareholders	with	10,817,364 votes	equal to	100.00%

Agenda Item 4: To consider and approve the appropriation of profit and the payment of dividends for the year 2009

The chairman explained in detail to the shareholders the consideration and approval of the appropriation of profit and the payment of dividends for the year 2009, as follows.

The Company's net profit after tax for the year 2009 was Baht 61,189,244, equalling Baht 5.04 per share, compared with that of the year 2008 at Baht 48,170,000, and Baht 3.96 per share.

Therefore, the Company's board of directors decided to propose paying a dividend for the year 2009 of Baht 4.50 per share on 12,150,000 shares, totaling Baht 54,675,000.

Presented below is the comparison with last year's dividend payment:

Details of dividend payment	2009 (proposed)	2008 (previously paid)
1. Net profit (Baht)	61,189,244	48,171,996
2. Number of shares outstanding (shares)	12,150,000	12,150,000
3. Dividend per share (Baht : share)	4.50	4.00
4. Total dividend payment (Baht)	54,675,000	48,600,000
5. Dividend payout ratio (%)	89.35	100.89

The shareholders had no questions or objections. The chairman then proposed the board's opinion as follows.

Opinion of the Board: Resolved to propose, for consideration and approval by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, the appropriation of profit and the payment of dividends for the year 2009 of Baht 4.50 per share on 12,150,000 shares, totaling Baht 54,675,000, or a ratio of 89.35% of the net profit after tax, and to fix the record date on 11 May 2010 for the right to receive dividends and to close the share register book for collecting shareholders' names in accordance with Section 225 of the Securities and Exchange Act on 12 May 2010 and to fix the date of dividend payment on 27 May 2010.

The chairman proposed that the Meeting pass a resolution using the voting cards.

The Meeting unanimously resolved to approve the appropriation of profit and the payment of dividends for the year 2009 according to the board's opinion with the voting results, as follows.



Approval by 52 shareholders with 10,815,525 votes equal to 99.98%

Disapproval by - shareholders with - votes equal to -

Abstention by 3 shareholders with 1,839 votes equal to 0.02%

Total 55 shareholders with 10,817,364 votes equal to 100.00%

Agenda Item 5: To consider the appointment of the auditors and fix the auditors' remuneration for the year 2010

The chairman reported to the Meeting that the Audit Committee proposed that PricewaterhouseCoopers ABAS Ltd. should be appointed as the Company's auditor for the year 2010. The auditors' names were as follows:

1. Ms. Anutai Poomsurakul Certified Public Accountant (Thailand) No. 3873
2. Mr. Somchai Jinnovart Certified Public Accountant (Thailand) No. 3271
3. Mr. Prasit Yuengsrikul Certified Public Accountant (Thailand) No. 4174

Ms. Anutai Poomsurakul to be assigned to be in charge of the audit and to provide opinions and sign on the Company's financial statements in place of Mr. Vichien Khingmontri, who has been the Company's auditor for the past 5 years (2005-2009). This is in compliance with the announcement of the Security and Exchange Commission requiring that every listed company rotate its auditor after a period of 5 fiscal years.

For 2010, the auditors' remuneration was adjusted to Baht 1,300,000, increasing by Baht 100,000, or 8.33%, from the previous year. This was due to the increase in audit and review works to be in compliance with the requirements of new and revised Thai accounting standards which are issued

based on International Financial Reporting Standards (e.g. Employee Benefits, Property, Plant and Equipment).

As for the non-audit service fee, for the past financial year the Company employed no such service from the accounting firm of the auditors.

The auditors whose names are proposed above have no relationship and/or interest with the Company/ the executives/ the major shareholders, or any of their related parties whatsoever.

The shareholders had no questions or objections. The chairman then proposed the board's opinion as follows.

Opinion of the Board: Resolved to propose, for consideration and approval by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers ABAS Ltd. as the Company's auditor for the year 2010, who appointed one of the following names to be in charge of the Company's audit.

1. Ms. Anutai Poomsurakul Certified Public Accountant (Thailand) No. 3873
2. Mr. Somchai Jinnovart Certified Public Accountant (Thailand) No. 3271
3. Mr. Prasit Yuengsrikul Certified Public Accountant (Thailand) No. 4174

Ms. Anutai Poomsurakul is to be in charge of the audit and to provide opinions and sign on the Company's financial statements in place of Mr. Vichien Khingmontri, as assigned by PricewaterhouseCoopers ABAS Ltd. The board also resolved to propose the auditors' remuneration for the year 2010 of Baht 1,300,000 as suggested by the Audit Committee.

The chairman proposed that the Meeting pass a resolution using the voting cards.

The Meeting unanimously resolved to approve the appointment of the auditors and the auditors' remuneration for the year 2010 according to the board's opinion, with the voting results, as follows.

Approval by	53	shareholders	with	10,815,525	votes	equal to	99.98%
Disapproval by	-	shareholders	with	-	votes	equal to	-
Abstention by	2	shareholders	with	1,939	votes	equal to	0.02%
Total	55	shareholders	with	10,817,364	votes	equal to	100.00%

Agenda Item 6: To consider and elect directors in place of those who are retiring by rotation according to Article 22 of the Company's Articles of Association

The chairman informed the Meeting that at the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders in 2010, one-third of directors must retire by rotation according to the Company's Articles of Association, Section 4 Article 22 to read as follows:

“At every Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, the directors shall retire from office at the rate of one-third. If the number of directors is not divisible by the exact three, the number closest to one-third shall retire. Directors to retire from office in the first year and second year after the registration of the Company are determined by a draw. For the following years, directors having been in office for the longest time shall be the persons to retire from office. Directors who retire by rotation according to this Article may be re-elected.”

The chairman notified that the directors who would retire by rotation at this Annual General Meeting of Shareholders were as follows:

1. Mr. Ian Lambert
2. Mr. Seri Sakdisawasdi
3. Mr. Sorasakdi Suchart

The chairman informed the Meeting that the board of directors had nominated and selected qualified candidates in accordance with the criteria and the nomination process disclosed in the 2009 Annual Report, under the section of Directors and Management Nomination and the section of Good Corporate Governance, item No. 5.5 re: Qualifications and Nomination of the Board of Directors. The board found that all of the above mentioned were highly qualified, with experiences valuable to the Company’s business operations as well as to the shareholders. They also played a key role in the success of the Company. A summary of their qualifications and achievements was shown to the shareholders in a slide presentation, with the content as follows:

1. Mr. Ian Lambert is the Chief Executive Officer of Lucite International, who has attended all of the important meetings of the board and the shareholders. As a director, he always offers valuable suggestions and plays an important role in TPA’s business management.

2. Mr. Seri Sakdisawasdi is the chairman of the Audit Committee, who renders his opinions on the internal audit and correction of any inappropriateness.

3. Mr. Sorasakdi Suchart has long been an independent director to the Company, and involved in laying the foundation for the Company’s operations.

Therefore, it is seen appropriate to re-elect all of them for another term as the Company's directors.

The shareholders had no questions or objections. The chairman then proposed the board's opinion as follows.

Opinion of the Board: Resolved to propose for consideration and approval by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, re-election of the directors retiring by rotation, whose names are listed above, to resume the position for another term because all of the mentioned directors have extensive knowledge and experiences that will benefit the Company's business and its shareholders.

The chairman proposed that the Meeting pass a resolution, using the voting cards, individually for each director.

The Meeting unanimously resolved to approve the re-election of the directors retiring by rotation to resume the position for another term, according to the board's opinion. The voting results for all of the directors were equal, as follows.

Approval by	55 shareholders	with	10,817,364	votes	equal to	100%
Disapproval by	- shareholders	with	-	votes	equal to	-
Abstention by	- shareholders	with	-	votes	equal to	- %
Total	55 shareholders	with	10,817,364	votes	equal to	100%

Agenda Item 7: To consider and approve the remuneration of Independent Directors for the year 2010

The chairman informed the Meeting that the board of directors has considered and found appropriate that the remuneration of independent directors for the year 2010 be adjusted from Baht 298,116.- per person per year to Baht 313,000.- per person per year, or increased by 5%. The meeting allowance should remain unchanged at Baht 6,000 per meeting for each audit committee member and Baht 7,000 per meeting for the chairman of the committee.

The board of directors has set the guidelines and procedures for the determination of remuneration as disclosed in the 2009 Annual Report, under the section of Corporate Governance, item No. 5.7 re: Remuneration of the Board.

The shareholders had no questions or objections. The chairman then proposed the board's opinion as follows.

Opinion of the Board: Resolved to propose, for consideration and approval by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, that the remuneration of independent directors for the year 2010 be increased from Baht 298,116.- per person per year to Baht 313,000.- per person per year. The meeting allowance should remain unchanged at Baht 6,000 per meeting for each audit committee member and Baht 7,000 per meeting for the chairman of the committee.

The chairman proposed that the Meeting pass a resolution using the voting cards.

The Meeting unanimously resolved to approve the remuneration of independent directors for the year 2010, in accordance with the board's opinion, with the following voting results.

Approval by	55	shareholders	with	10,817,364	votes	equal to	100%
Disapproval by	-	shareholders	with	-	votes	equal to	-
Abstention by	-	shareholders	with	-	votes	equal to	- %
Total	55	shareholders	with	10,817,364	votes	equal to	100%

Agenda Item 8: Consideration of other issues (if any)

The chairman gave an opportunity for the shareholders or their proxies to ask questions and answered them as follows.

An anonymous shareholder asked the chairman

1. Please talk about the prospect of the 2010 operating results.

The chairman answered

The prospect for 2010 looks good although the figures cannot be specified. There is strong growth for both domestic and overseas customers. The automobile industry and exports have continued to do well, including refrigerator products. The performance of both the domestic and overseas sanitary ware markets looks to drop slightly, such as the acrylic sheets used in making bath tubs, because the growth of the construction industry is

declining globally. However, China as the world's major exporter has increased orders compared to 2009. Therefore, this year's operating results are expected to be better than last year's, provided that Thailand is not faced with any drastic occurrence.

2. Does the political turmoil affect the Company?

The chairman answered

There is some impact in terms of domestic consumption, but not much, because the Company has a wide variety of products. For example, the construction industry's performance has dropped, but its impact is compensated by the rise in the automobile industry. This is TPA's strength of having more products than acrylic sheets. Our other products include the extruded plastic product line, which has high domestic sales proportion of more than 90%.

Any economic consequences, or factors leading to reduced consumption, will inevitably affect the extruded plastics industry. On the contrary, for the acrylic sheet business, sometimes the domestic performance declines due to some certain incidents, but the overseas markets remain strong. The importance, therefore, lies with setting the right sales proportion of domestic and overseas markets to properly respond to the situation in each time period.

3. What is the sales structure for the local and overseas markets, in percentage?

The chairman explained that

Overall, sales of the acrylic product line are approximately 60% overseas and 40-45% domestic. For the extruded plastics industry, around 90% of sales come from local customers. However, sales proportion depends on a number of factors. For example, when the Baht depreciates, higher proportion may be shifted to overseas customers. The Company just needs to consider the impacts of all internal and external factors to determine what the proper sales mix should be.

A number of shareholders who attended the 2008 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders have yet to understand the business and operations of TPA; therefore, they expressed their interest in visiting the plants. The Company has responded accordingly to their interest.

4. In 2006, the Company was granted approval from the board of directors for an investment budget of Baht 299,000,000.-. Why has the Company been postponing such an investment, with no action made regarding the budget?

The chairman explained

TPA has purchased 31 Rai (4.96 hectares) of land in Wellgrow Industrial Estate since 1994. Due to the country's economic downturn at the time and the ongoing economic and political conditions unfavorable to investment at present, the Company has had to postpone the investment. In addition, after Mitsubishi Rayon Co., Ltd. (MRC) started the process of taking over Lucite International, no further action has been made regarding this matter. The take-over was completed in 2009 and MRC has become the major shareholder of Lucite International. Nevertheless, management and operation of TPA remains under Lucite International's direct control, with no change in the managerial structure.

5. Is this investment project still postponed?

The chairman replied that

In the next board meeting, ideas and potential solutions will be proposed to the board of directors to consider. However, the current situation in Thailand has caused a certain degree of concerns among the foreign shareholders. The chairman, as the officer in charge of TPA operations, will seek to propose satisfactory alternatives. For example, increased land prices in certain locations might present a financing opportunity for the Company. This, however, remains merely a possible proposal, not by any means a resolution of the board.

Regarding the production management of acrylic products, the factory is currently run at full capacity. Annual production capacity began with 6,000 metric tons, and was later increased to 10,000 metric tons. However, the Company continues to encounter capacity limitations, and needs to find a way to expand the production capacity.

Ms. Chadaporn Thikhautamakorn, raised some points

1. As explained, TPA has purchased land for the factory expansion since 2006 at Baht 104,780,000.-. The land value is shown the same at present. Will it remain unchanged in 2011? Should the price go up? TPA probably should adjust the land value to make it current. The value presented next year should be the real value. If possible, the land should not be left idle. It should be made productive.

The chairman responded

That is a good suggestion. However, the Company has never adjusted the value of its land, as the management at the head office overseas never puts importance on adjusting land value to correspond with the market prices.

The chairman then asked for an opinion from Mr. Kraisang Thiranulak, senior auditor from PricewaterhouseCoopers ABAS Ltd., whether TPA should plan to adjust land value to reflect market prices.

Mr. Kraisang Thiranulak clarified this point to the shareholders that

Adjustment of land value depends on which accounting policy, cost-based or market-based, the Company adopts. Currently, TPA adopts the cost-based accounting policy.

The chairman added that the overseas head office determines which policy TPA adopts. Nonetheless, the point raised was reasonable as it would reduce the gap between the book value and the market value. This will be brought to attention of the board of directors in its next meeting.

2. This year's stock reduced but still in the range of over Baht 100 million. What is TPA's strategy to release the products in stock? The more inventories get released, the more revenue the Company should earn.

The chairman explained that

TPA has no problem with its inventory management. The outstanding balance was probably in the process of record keeping at the end of the period, when some purchase balance was still outstanding. However, the actual inventory level, or the buffered stock, remains relatively low. For example, the current stock for acrylic products is kept for a half-month period, as opposed to the usual one-month level. Another reason is that the materials



inventory is kept rather high at year-end because the materials prices are expected to rise; therefore, the Company decides to stock a level of it in advance. Some slow-moving stock has been cleared out, which is a normal practice.

3. Does the Company have obsolete inventory?

The chairman answered

Obsolete inventory or dead stock refers to products kept in stock for over 12 months. This can occur in some cases, such as when producing beyond a customer's order. For example, a customer orders 100 sheets of plastic, but 112 sheets need to be produced to provide a buffer for defects, as well as enable fast shipment. The excess amount will then be kept until the customer re-orders. If there is no re-order, the excess will become dead stock as it may be hard to sell. However, this expense is already determined and incorporated in the product's price.

The policy the chairman adopts and always proposes to the board of directors is such that if not for the needed investment for capacity expansion, the money would have been used for dividend payment. That is, the Company adopts a rather conservative approach in its management, which contributes to the Company having no long-term debt with the bank.

4. Will the cash in savings accounts of Baht 107,000,000.- be current? If not, it should be shifted to time deposit accounts to gain higher interests, benefiting the Company more.

The chairman answered

Lucite International has strict policies in cash and cash equivalent management. Deposits can only be made with specific banks as instructed. TPA finance and accounting manager cannot operate beyond instructions. Should TPA manage its cash and relationship with the banks to seek interests, it might lead to problems including that related to foreign currencies. The Company has its procedure regarding risk management, where a chance for higher interest would reveal a higher risk as well.

5. Which part of the financial items is the maturing Baht 70,000,000.- bank draft incorporated?

The chairman answered

The savings accounts.

The anonymous shareholder asked another question

Regarding the dividend payment.

The chairman explained

The records show that TPA has always been able to pay reasonable dividends. Only in 1998 was the Company unable to make the payment. The Company's performance is expected to continue to be strong, as each of the customers has good relationship and understanding with the Company, reflecting another point of its strengths.

The Meeting had no further questions. The chairman then thanked all of the meeting participants for kindly attending this Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, and closed the Meeting.

The Meeting closed at 11.15 a.m.

(Mr. Suchitr Srivetbodee)

Managing Director

Acting as Chairman of the Meeting

(Ms.Masaya Rungrueng)

Minutes Keeper

Certified True Copy

(Mr. Suchitr Srivetbodee)

Managing Director